PSJ17 Exh 59

```
IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 1
               FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
 2
                        EASTERN DIVISION
 3
    IN RE: NATIONAL PRESCRIPTION ) No. 17-md-2804
    OPIATE LITIGATION NO. 2804
                                     )
   APPLIES TO ALL CASES
                                ) Hon. Dan A. Polster
5
6
7
                HIGHLY CONFIDENTIAL - SUBJECT TO
                   FURTHER CONFIDENTIALITY REVIEW
8
9
               VIDEO DEPOSITION OF LAURA SIPPIAL
10
                        January 22, 2019
11
                            10:00 a.m.
12
13
14
           Reporter: John Arndt, CSR, CCR, RDR, CRR
                      CSR No. 084-004605
15
                          CCR No. 1186
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
```

```
DEPOSITION OF LAURA SIPPIAL produced,
 1
    sworn, and examined on January 22, 2019, at Lindhorst &
    Dreidame, 312 Walnut Street, Suite 3100, in the City of
     Cincinnati, State of Ohio, before John Arndt, a
     Certified Shorthand Reporter and Certified Court
 3
    Reporter.
 4
 5
                     APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL
 6
     On Behalf of Plaintiffs:
 7
            Wagstaff & Cartmell LLP
            4740 Grand Avenue, Suite 300
            Kansas City, MO 64112
 8
            (816) 701-1174
 9
            BY: MR. ANDREW N. FAES
                  afaes@wcllp.com
10
                  MR. LUKE F. CALLAHAN
                  lcallahan@wcllp.com
11
            Robbins Geller Rudman & Dowd, LLP
12
            655 West Broadway, Suite 1900
            San Diego, CA 92101
13
            (619) 231-1058
            BY:
                MS. KOMAL JAIN
14
                  kjain@rqrdlaw.com
    On Behalf of Walmart:
15
            Jones Day
16
            901 Lakeside Avenue
            Cleveland, OH 44114
            (216) 586-3939
17
            BY: MS. PATRICIA OCHMAN
                  pochman@jonesday.com
18
19
     On Behalf of Endo Pharmaceuticals:
            Arnold & Porter Kaye Scholer, LLP
            777 South Figueroa Street, 44th Floor
2.0
            Los Angeles, CA 90017
            (213) 243-4000
21
            BY: MS. TIFFANY M. IKEDA
                  tiffany.ikeda@arnoldporter.com
2.2
                  (present via speakerphone)
2.3
2.4
```

```
APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL (CONTINUED)
 1
 2
    On Behalf of AmerisourceBergen:
            Reed Smith LLP
 3
            136 Main Street, Suite 250
            Princeton, NJ 08540
 4
            (609) 514-5959
            BY: MS. SHANA E. RUSSO
 5
                  srusso@reedsmith.com
                  (present via speakerphone)
 6
     On Behalf of Cardinal Health:
 7
            Porter Wright Morris & Arthur LLP
            250 East Fifth Street, Suite 2200
 8
            Cincinnati, OH 45202
            (513) 369-4203
 9
            BY: MR. ZACHARY A. EL-SAWAF
10
                  zelsawaf@porterwright.com
    On Behalf of Teva Pharmaceutical:
11
            Morgan, Lewis & Bockius, LLP
            1111 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW
12
            Washington, DC 20004
            (202) 739-5806
13
            BY: MR. JONATHAN E. MAIER
14
                  jonathan.maier@morganlewis.com
                  (present via speakerphone)
15
          On Behalf of Laura Sippial:
                 Lindhorst & Dreidame, Co., LPA
16
                 312 Walnut Street, Suite 3100
17
18
                 Cincinnati, OH 45202
19
                 (513) 223-3967
20
                       MR. CULLEN P. ROONEY
                 BY:
21
22
    Also present: James Arndt, videographer
23
                    Shawn Groat, trial technician
2.4
```

- 1 talked -- you can say that we met, but --
- 2 A. Okay. We met, yes.
- 3 Q. (By Mr. Faes) So yeah, I don't -- I
- 4 should have cautioned you. I don't want to get into
- 5 any communications that you had between yourself and
- 6 your attorney. I'm just -- I was just asking --
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. -- did you meet, how long did you meet
- 9 for. I don't want to hear anything substantive that
- 10 you discussed with your attorney.
- 11 A. Okay.
- 12 Q. Did you review any documents in
- 13 preparation for your deposition today?
- 14 A. No.
- Q. And did you review any deposition
- 16 testimony in preparation for today?
- 17 A. No.
- 18 Q. And how long did you all meet for,
- 19 approximately?
- A. About 45 minutes to an hour.
- Q. What's your highest level of education?
- A. I have a bachelor's degree.
- 23 O. In?
- A. Business management.

- 1 Q. And who is your current employer?
- 2 A. I am currently unemployed.
- Q. Who was your last employer?
- 4 A. My last employer was R & L Laboratory
- 5 Services.
- 6 Q. And when did you leave that job?
- 7 A. I would say in June or July of this year.
- Q. And what were the circumstances of your
- 9 departure from R & L Laboratory Services?
- 10 A. Well, I had multiple sclerosis, and so I
- 11 left to pursue, I quess you could say, short -- or
- 12 Social Security disability.
- Q. Now, you first started with Cephalon in
- 14 approximately January or February of 2001?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. And you left Cephalon when?
- 17 A. 2010.
- Q. When in 2010 did you leave Cephalon?
- 19 A. I don't recall.
- Q. Was it -- do you remember if it was early,
- 21 late in the year?
- A. I think it was like middle of the year.
- Q. So was it summertime?
- A. No, I think it was fall.

- Q. So fall -- approximately fall of 2010 to
- 2 the best of your recollection --
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. -- is when you left Teva?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. And when you were --
- 7 A. Left Cephalon.
- Q. I'm sorry. Cephalon. That's right. It
- 9 was never Teva when you were there.
- 10 A. Right.
- 11 Q. So what were the circumstances of your
- departure from Cephalon in 2010?
- 13 A. I was fired.
- Q. And what was the reason why you were told
- 15 you were fired to the --
- 16 A. I was told I was fired because I stated
- 17 that I had not completed a medical education program
- when the physician who was involved said that I had.
- 9 Q. So let me understand if I see this --
- 20 understand this correctly. When you say you were fired
- 21 because you stated that you had not completed a medical
- 22 education program and the doctor said you had, does
- that mean that it was a medical education program for a
- 24 drug you were promoting, and this doctor that said it

- 1 specialist; right?
- 2 A. Yes. Often.
- Q. And you would also ultimately call on
- 4 other types of physicians other than oncologists and
- 5 pain specialists as well; right?
- A. Repeat that question.
- 7 Q. And you would call on physicians with
- 8 other types of specialties other than just oncologists
- 9 and pain specialists; right?
- MR. MAIER: Object to form.
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. (By Mr. Faes) You might, for example,
- 13 call on primary care providers; right?
- 14 A. No, not primary care.
- Q. So you don't remember ever having --
- 16 A. I don't --
- 17 Q. -- a primary care doctor as one of your
- 18 even top targets for Actiq or Fentora?
- MR. MAIER: Object to form.
- A. Maybe.
- MR. ROONEY: Don't guess.
- 22 A. Okay. I'm not sure.
- Q. (By Mr. Faes) So I'm not sure I got an
- 24 answer, so let me ask it. Is it true that you would

- 1 occasionally call on -- strike that. Is it true that
- 2 you would sometimes call on doctors with specialties
- 3 other than oncology or pain specialists for Actiq and
- 4 Fentora?
- 5 MR. ROONEY: Object to form.
- A. Yes.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Faes) And the company knew that
- 8 you were doing that; right?
- 9 MR. ROONEY: Object to form.
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. (By Mr. Faes) And nobody ever expressed
- 12 any kind of concern to you that calling on doctors who
- weren't oncologists or pain specialists was
- inconsistent with the risk minimization plan; right?
- MR. ROONEY: Object to form.
- MR. MAIER: Object to form.
- 17 A. Repeat that.
- 18 Q. (By Mr. Faes) Nobody at Cephalon -- none
- 19 of your superiors ever came to you or told you that
- they had any concerns with you calling on doctors for
- 21 Actiq who weren't pain specialists or oncologists;
- 22 right?
- MR. ROONEY: Same objection.
- MR. MAIER: Object to form.

- 1 A. There were physicians with subspecialties
- 2 that we called on.
- MR. ROONEY: Look at the question he
- 4 asked.
- 5 A. Okay.
- 6 MR. ROONEY: Just answer the question.
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. (By Mr. Faes) So if you look back on
- 9 Exhibit Number 2, if you look down on Paragraph 5, it
- 10 states that among those physicians who are prescribing
- 11 Actiq, activity is skewing increasingly towards
- 12 non-oncologists. Units written by oncologists
- 13 represent just 16 percent of total usage with 48
- 14 percent coming from pain management specialists. Do
- 15 you see that?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. And that was something that you were
- 18 trained on and had an understanding early on when you
- 19 began promoting Actiq; right?
- MR. ROONEY: Object to form.
- MR. MAIER: Objection. Form.
- A. Not early on.
- Q. (By Mr. Faes) But eventually you had that
- 24 understanding?

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. When did you come to have that
- 3 understanding?
- 4 A. Maybe a couple years into working for --
- MR. ROONEY: Don't guess.
- 6 A. Okay. Two years.
- 7 Q. (By Mr. Faes) So to the best of your
- 8 recollection, within a couple years of working for
- 9 Cephalon, you started to have an understanding that
- 10 among physicians who were prescribing Actiq, activity
- 11 was skewing increasingly towards the non-oncologist;
- 12 right?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. And an oncologist is essentially a cancer
- 15 specialist; right?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And if you look on Number 6, it states
- 18 that we believe the pain management specialist is
- 19 likely to be a more aggressive writer and rapid adopter
- 20 of Actiq; right?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. Is that something that you were trained on
- during your initial training and on-boarding with
- 24 Cephalon?